

African Journal for the Psychological Studies of Social Issues

Volume 29 Number 3, AUGUST, 2026 Edition

Founding Editor- in - Chief: Professor Denis C.E. Ugwuegbu
(Retired Professor of Department of Psychology.
University of Ibadan.)

Editor- in - Chief: Professor Shyngle K. Balogun.
Department of Psychology, University of Ibadan.

Associate Editor: Professor. Benjamin O. Ehigie
Department of Psychology, University of Ibadan.

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Professor S. S. Babalola	University of South Africa
Professor S.E. Idemudia	University of South Africa
Professor Tope Akinawo	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria
Professor O.A Ojedokun	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria
Professor Catherine O Chowwen	University of Ibadan, Nigeria
Professor. Grace Adejunwon	University of Ibadan, Nigeria
Professor. A.M. Sunmola	University of Ibadan, Nigeria
Professor. B. Nwankwo	Caritas University, Nigeria
Professor. K.O. Taiwo	Lagos State University, Nigeria
Professor. Bayo Oluwole	University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Invasion from Mars: A Study in the Psychology of Panic: A CRITIQUE OF HADLEY CANTRIL'S (1940) WORK ON INFLUENCE OF MASS MEDIA MISINFORMATION ON THE PUBLIC PSYCHE.:

Temitope C. Obayendo

*Department of Mass Communication
Faculty of Communication and Media Studies
University of Lagos, Nigeria*

TITLE OF THE STUDY AND BACKGROUND OF THE SCHOLAR:

Title of the study: *Invasion from Mars: A Study in the Psychology of Panic* by Hadley Cantril (1940).

Dr Hadley Cantril was an American public opinion analyst and academic, who spent major part of his professional life at Princeton University, New Jersey, as a prominent psychologist and researcher. Born in Utah in 1906, he is most remembered for developing the **Cantril Self-Anchoring Striving Scale** in 1965, also known as 'Cantril's Ladder' published in 'The pattern of Human Concerns'. Cantril's Ladder is a measurement instrument that asks people to rate their present, past, and anticipated future satisfaction with life on a scale anchored by their own identified values.

His legacy is defined by three major pillars that shaped modern social science and global metrics:

- The Global Standard for Measuring Happiness- the Cantril Ladder: His 0-to-10 self-anchoring scale remains the premier tool for global well-being research.
- The Foundation of Media Effects Research- the *Invasion from Mars* (1940): His study established the psychological framework for understanding mass panic and media influence.
- The Integration of Polling into Statecraft: He transformed public opinion polling from a marketing tool into an instrument of national security.

REVIEW OF THE STUDY: (SYSTEMIC DESCRIPTION)

Prior to the 1938 broadcast of *The War of the Worlds*, the prevailing psychological idea regarding media was the **Hypodermic Needle Theory** also known as the **Magic Bullet Theory**, which assumes that media messages are injected directly into the brains of passive audience and triggers instant uniform reactions. Audiences were already anxious because of war fears, economic insecurity, and geopolitical instability before World War II.

The Broadcast: On Sunday, October 30, 1938, Orson Welles, a radio broadcaster featured a fictional drama adapted from H. G. Wells' *The War of the Worlds* (1898), which implies a catastrophic Martians invasion of Earth narrated through a series of simulated, real-time news bulletins. Staged as a live music programme interrupted by increasingly urgent flashes from "Intercontinental Radio News," the broadcast detailed the landing of a Martian meteorite in Grovers Mill, New Jersey, the unleashing of deadly heat rays, and the systematic destruction of New York City. The realistic format of the show, deceived many listeners who tuned in late and missed the introductory disclaimer that announced that it was a fictional drama. The resulting panic, which saw terrified citizens flee their homes and flood police switchboards, exposed the raw power of the radio medium and permanently transformed the public's understanding of media influence and mass psychological vulnerability.

The immediate **post-broadcast** newspaper headlines reported mass hysteria, confirming the Hypodermic Needle Theory—implying the radio had brainwashed millions into a uniform panic.

Cantril's work aimed to provide empirical study for audience critical ability to media messages.

Problem: Why had so many people panicked when the circumstances reported were so unlikely to take place? That was the question Cantril set out to answer with the study.

OBJECTIVES OF THE CRITIQUE

The main objective of the critique was to analyse why thousands of people reacted with fear and panic to the 1938 radio broadcast of The War of the Worlds, and why the others remained unperturbed even after listening to the programme. Specifically, the study aimed to:

- Examine why people panicked after the broadcast.
- Analyse how audiences react differently to mass media messages.
- Identify factors influencing belief and panic, such as education, emotions, and critical thinking.
- Show that media effects are not the same on everyone.
- Explain how information disorder (2017) validates "invasion from mars" (1940)

RESEARCH TRADITION: (Positivist Tradition)

At its heart, the study belongs to the Positivist tradition because of how the research was conducted. It showcased true life experience on how people react to media communication as manipulated by people behind the scene in news delivery in the following ways:

- **Scientific Framework:** It treats mass communication as a hard, behavioural science.
- **Methodology:** It relies strictly on empirical, quantifiable data, using Gallup surveys, statistical cross-tabulations of demographics, and data-driven psychological profiles.
- **The Model:** It operates on a linear, cause-and-effect structure (stimulus-response). Appraising the methodology adopted, it could be seen that while the methods were purely positivist, the conclusions of the book shattered early positivism and birthed post-positivism, otherwise known lately as "**The Limited Effects Paradigm**". Early Positivism assumed the media had absolute, universal power over a passive audience (**the Hypodermic Needle Theory**). Similar to the early positive theory, the Hypodermic Needle Theory assumes that media can "inject" ideas, beliefs, or attitudes straight into the minds of audiences, just as a hypodermic needle injects medicine into the body. But the limited effects paradigm brought a new dimension to the ways audiences view media messages. It showed that people rationalise media messages based on their educational status, social background, religious belief, peer group, and political affiliation.

SCHOOL OF THOUGHT: Columbia School

Hadley Cantril work belongs to the Columbia School associated with Columbia University in the US during the 1930s–1950s. The school is famous for empirical communication research like: media effects, audience behaviour, persuasion, public opinion, political communication.

Scholars from the Columbia School such as Paul Lazarsfeld, Robert Merton, and others helped develop modern audience studies, such as Uses and Gratification, Two-step flow, Reception theory, Cultivation theory, Selective Process theory, etc.

Main Characteristics of the Columbia School

- **Empirical Research:** It emphasises use of surveys, interviews, and statistics, in studying media influence on audience.
- **Limited Effects Theory:** Unlike early theories that saw media as overwhelmingly powerful, Columbia scholars argued that media effects are often limited. They discovered that people are influenced not only by media but also by: family, religion, education, peer groups, political affiliation, and social class.

- **Audience-Centred Research:** The school shifted attention from: “What does media do to people?” to: “How do people interpret and respond to media messages?”

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: A LOOK INTO CANTRIL'S METHOD

Cantril deployed the empirical research approach, using a mixed method design of in-depth interview, survey and content analysis.

Interviews: The study relied principally on in-depth interviews with 135 people, most of whom had been upset by the broadcast, and others who listened but remained calm.

Survey: It also drew on two surveys based on national samples, particularly one conducted by CBS a week after the broadcast.

Mail Survey: Additionally, Cantril conducted a national mail survey of high school principals on the assumption they would be in touch with cross sections of their communities and able to report on the extent of the panic. A mail survey was also conducted of managers of radio stations that had carried the broadcast in which they reported a fivefold increase in the normal volume of phone calls to their switchboards after the broadcast.

Content Analysis: Cantril's team did a content analysis of 12,000 newspaper clippings on the broadcast and its aftermath.

The designs employed in all of these approaches tried to take into account the unsettled times as many Americans were still trying to recover from the deprivations of the depression and were anxious about the prospect that the United States would have to go to war in Europe.

Sample population: Out of about six million people who listened to the broadcast, Cantril conducted interviews with 135 of them, most of whom were upset by the fictional dramatic show.

The central concept in Cantril's analysis of reactions to the Welles broadcast is "standard of judgment." He defines it as "an organised mental context which provides an individual with a basis for interpretation."

FINDINGS:

Cantril's empirical study of *The Invasion from Mars*, thoroughly **undermined the Magic Bullet model, which holds that media messages are “fired” like a magic bullet into the minds of passive audiences**, producing immediate, direct, and uniform effects on their attitudes, beliefs, and behaviour. He found that out of about six million people who listened to the broadcast, at least one million of them panicked. Subsequent communication scholars have interpreted these findings as evidence that audiences are active rather than passive recipients of media messages, thereby undermining the notion of universal and automatic media effects (McQuail, 2010; Baran & Davis, 2021; DeFleur & Ball-Rokeach, 1989). This is an empirical proof that audience did *not* react uniformly, rather, individual psychological traits, educational backgrounds, religious beliefs, and critical thinking skills dictated whether a listener panicked or recognised the broadcast as a play.

Resulting Theory: This shifted psychology toward the **Limited Effects Theory**, establishing that media influence is moderated by individual personality and social factors.

HOW INFORMATION DISORDER (2017) VALIDATES "INVASION FROM MARS" (1940)

Although Cantril's (1940) study explained how audience interpretation and limited critical evaluation contributed to panic during the 1938 War of the Worlds broadcast, recent scholarship on information disorder has focused largely on digital misinformation ecosystems without explicitly reconnecting these contemporary frameworks to Cantril's foundational insights into audience psychology. The present work seeks to bridge that conceptual gap by demonstrating the

continued relevance of Cantril's findings to understanding information disorder and infodemics in the social media era.

Though separated by nearly 80 years and vastly different media landscapes, Claire Wardle and Hossein Derakhshan's seminal report ***Information Disorder (2017)*** strongly validates, updates, and provides a modern theoretical framework for Hadley Cantril's classic study, ***The Invasion from Mars: A Study in the Psychology of Panic (1940)***.

Cantril analysed why Orson Welles' 1938 *War of the Worlds* radio broadcast caused widespread panic, though not experienced by all listeners, while Wardle and Derakhshan analysed how digital misinformation, disinformation, and malinformation spread today.

STRUCTURAL CONGRUENCE IN INFORMATION VULNERABILITY: A COMPARISON OF CANTRIL AND WADLE'S WORKS

Format & Mimicry

- **The 1938 Analog Reality (Cantril):**
 - Listeners panicked because the broadcast used trusted formats: breaking news bulletins, live on-the-scene reporters, and official-sounding experts.
- **The 2017 Digital Parallel (Wardle & Derakhshan):**
 - Today's bad actors use "**Imposter Content**" and "**Misleading Content**"—mimicking faked news logos, layout templates, and simulated URLs to look authentic.

Flawed Verification (Cantril):

Cantril (1940) observed that many listeners who panicked during the *War of the Worlds* broadcast failed to verify the information they received. Instead of consulting reliable or authoritative sources, a substantial proportion relied on what he described as "circular logic" by looking out of their windows for signs of danger or seeking reassurance from neighbours and friends who were themselves frightened. Rather than reducing uncertainty, these interactions often reinforced fear because they involved people who shared the same misconceptions. This finding has contemporary relevance in the digital age. Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) argue that information disorder is amplified through social media, where users frequently engage in emotional sharing rather than factual verification. In such environments, individuals often prioritise social connection, confirmation of existing beliefs, and collective emotional responses over the accuracy of information, allowing misinformation to spread rapidly within online communities.

Cantril (1940) also argued that audience reactions to the broadcast were influenced by pre-existing socio-psychological conditions. He maintained that the panic was intensified because many Americans were already psychologically primed by the lingering effects of the Great Depression and growing fears over the possibility of war in Europe. These prevailing anxieties created a cultural climate in which the fictional broadcast appeared plausible to some listeners. Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) extend this insight to the contemporary information environment, arguing that information disorder flourishes in highly polarised and marginalised communities where trust in mainstream institutions has significantly eroded. In such contexts, individuals are more likely to accept and disseminate misleading information because it resonates with existing fears, identities, and distrust of traditional sources of authority.

THE POINT OF CONVERGENCE: THE INDUSTRIALISATION OF EMOTION

The two frameworks ultimately converge on the relationship between **emotion and media virality**. Cantril proved that intense fear and uncertainty paralyse an individual's "critical ability" to fact-check, allowing a rumour to spread through localised social contagion. Wardle and Derakhshan take this exact psychological vulnerability and show how it has been industrialised.

In the digital era, emotional arousal (outrage, fear, moral urgency) is no longer just a psychological side effect of a scare, it is the financial and technical engine of the internet. Algorithmic networks intentionally weaponise these emotions to drive engagement, turning Cantril's localised "mass panic" into a permanent, self-replicating global crisis of trust.

FACT-CHECKING: THE MODERN "CRITICAL ABILITY"

While Hadley Cantril (1940) emphasised the importance of an audience's individual "critical ability" and sound judgment in resisting media-induced panic, the digital age requires a more comprehensive and active toolkit for evaluating information. Given the speed and scale at which misinformation, disinformation, and malinformation circulate on social media, users must develop practical fact-checking skills to counter the structural challenges posed by information disorder (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). One of the most important competencies is source and context verification. This begins with source tracking, whereby individuals identify the original creator of a piece of content and assess whether the source is identifiable, accountable, and credible. Equally important is context evaluation, as misinformation frequently weaponises authentic images, videos, and quotations by removing them from their original context. Users should therefore question when and where such material was first produced before accepting or sharing it. Timeline checks are another essential practice because outdated news stories are often repackaged and presented as current events. Cross-referencing original publication dates and comparing timelines against contemporary claims helps prevent the spread of misleading information.

Modern critical ability also requires technical investigative skills. Individuals should avoid relying on a single post, hyperlink, or news source and instead cultivate the habit of cross-checking claims across multiple independent and reputable sources. This process of verification significantly reduces the likelihood of accepting false or misleading information as true. Furthermore, reverse image and video search tools, such as Google Lens and TinEye, enable users to trace the origin and history of visual content, revealing whether images or videos have been digitally manipulated or taken from unrelated events and falsely presented as evidence of current occurrences.

Beyond technical verification, effective fact-checking demands behavioural and structural awareness. Emotional self-awareness functions as an important cognitive defence mechanism because misinformation frequently exploits strong emotions such as fear, outrage, or affirmation to encourage impulsive reactions and discourage critical reasoning. When individuals recognise that emotionally charged content may be designed to bypass rational evaluation, they are better positioned to pause and verify its accuracy before engaging with or sharing it. Users should also develop the ability to recognise common manipulation tactics, including sensationalised clickbait headlines, doctored graphics, fabricated or unverified experts, and other persuasive techniques intended to maximise engagement rather than communicate accurate information. Finally, understanding the architecture of social media platforms is essential. Users should recognise that platform algorithms are primarily designed to reward emotional engagement, user interaction, and virality rather than factual accuracy. Appreciating how these algorithmic systems amplify emotionally engaging content enables individuals to approach online information more critically and make more informed decisions about the credibility of the content they encounter.

APPLICABILITY TO MODERN PROBLEMS

Case Studies in Digital Panic

The relevance of Cantril's (1940) findings extends well beyond the *War of the Worlds* broadcast and remains evident in contemporary digital information environments, where misinformation can trigger widespread panic and harmful real-world consequences. Modern cases of digital panic

demonstrate that, despite advances in communication technology, audiences continue to be vulnerable when information is accepted without critical evaluation. Public health emergencies and financial crises provide particularly compelling examples of how misinformation spreads rapidly through digital platforms, shaping public attitudes and behaviour in ways that closely resemble the mechanisms identified by Cantril.

Public health crises such as the 2014 Ebola outbreak and the COVID-19 pandemic illustrate the devastating consequences of digital misinformation. During the Ebola outbreak, viral SMS messages and social media posts circulated false claims that bathing in or drinking excessive quantities of warm salt water could prevent infection. These rumours spread rapidly across Nigeria as individuals shared the messages without verifying their accuracy. The consequences were severe, as excessive salt consumption proved fatal in cities such as Jos and Makurdi, particularly among individuals with pre-existing hypertension. Similar patterns emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. Social media became a major channel for the dissemination of false information, including claims that drinking methanol or alcohol-based cleaning products could prevent or cure the virus, conspiracy theories alleging that COVID-19 vaccines contained tracking microchips, and accusations that governments and public health institutions were concealing the truth about the pandemic. The failure to critically evaluate these claims contributed to widespread ingestion of toxic substances, resulting in mass hospitalisations and avoidable deaths, while simultaneously undermining public trust in health authorities and vaccination programmes.

Financial crises provide another important example of digital panic driven by misinformation. Social media platforms have repeatedly been used to spread rumours that particular banking institutions are collapsing or that customers are about to lose their savings. Such unverified claims often generate immediate fear among depositors, prompting irrational collective behaviour in the form of large-scale panic withdrawals. Because information spreads almost instantaneously through digital networks, customers frequently rush to withdraw their funds before financial regulators or banking authorities have sufficient time to issue official statements clarifying the situation or reassuring the public about the stability of the financial system. These incidents demonstrate how misinformation circulating through social media can rapidly transform unfounded rumours into collective panic, producing tangible economic consequences even in the absence of genuine financial instability.

Main Lesson from Cantril's Argument

Cantril's work remains relevant because modern digital media can still create fear, misinformation, mass emotional reactions, and public panic. However, the effect depends on audience psychology, critical thinking, media literacy, and social environment.

CONCLUSION

The ultimate line of defense against information disorder is a cultural shift in users' behavior: **Verification before amplification.**

Moving from instinctive sharing to deliberate fact-checking transforms passive media consumers into active, digitally competent guardians of truth.

REFERENCES:

- Baran, S. J., & Davis, D. K. (2021). *Mass communication theory: Foundations, ferment, and future* (8th ed.). Cengage.
- Bartholomew, R. E. (2010). *Hoaxes, myths, and manias: Why we need critical thinking*. Praeger.
- Bartholomew, R. E., & Radford, B. (2011). *The Martians have landed!: A history of media-driven panics and hoaxes*. McFarland.
- Broda, E., & Strömbäck, J. (2024). Misinformation, disinformation, and fake news: Lessons from an interdisciplinary, systematic literature review. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 48(2), 139–166. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2024.2323736>
- Cantril, H. (1940). *The invasion from Mars: A study in the psychology of panic*. Princeton University Press.
- Cho, H., Carpenter, C. J., & Li, W. (2025). Media literacy interventions: Meta-analytic review of 40 years of research. *Human Communication Research*, 51(2), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hcr/hgaf004>
- DeFleur, M. L., & Ball-Rokeach, S. J. (1989). *Theories of mass communication* (5th ed.). Longman.
- Drury, J. (2026). The psychology of crowd behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 77, 339–364.
- Gjergji, I. (2025). Signs for an act of *consignatio*: Herta Herzog's contributions to sociology and communication studies. *Kieler Sozialwissenschaftliche Revue*, 3(1), 48–62.
- Hameleers, M., & van der Meer, T. G. (2020). Misinformation and disinformation: A multi-method analysis of the effects of factual corrections on political misperceptions. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 25(3), 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220911783>
- Hayes, J. E., & Battles, K. (2022). Exchange and interconnection in U.S. network radio: A reinterpretation of the 1938 *War of the Worlds* broadcast. *Studies in American Radio History*, 14(3), 201–218..
- Kandel, N. (2020). Information disorder syndrome and its management. *Journal of Nepal Medical Association*, 58(226). <https://doi.org/10.31729/jnma.4968>
- Klein, G. (2023). The impact of information disorder on deliberative process. *Textual & Visual Media*, 17(2), 22–36. <https://doi.org/10.56418/txt.17.2.2023.2>
- Lubken, P. (2018). *The rhetoric of panic: The structural legacy of early powerful-effects models*..
- Marin, L. (2021). Three contextual dimensions of information on social media: Lessons learned from the COVID-19 infodemic. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 23, 79–86.
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). Sage.
- Moharam, M. M. R. (2026). The dialectics of media and information literacy with information disorder in the post-truth digital era. *Frontiers in Communication*. .
- Ntahonsigaye, M. K. (2018). *"Fake news" hysteria: How an analysis of Orson Welles' War of the Worlds broadcast can inform the issue of "fake news"* (Master's thesis). University of Windsor.
- Orr, J. (2006). *Panic diaries: A genealogy of panic disorder*. Duke University Press.
- Porter, E., & Wood, T. J. (2021). The global effectiveness of fact-checking: Evidence from simultaneous experiments in Argentina, Nigeria, South Africa, and the United Kingdom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(37), Article e2104235118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104235118>
- Pian, W., Chi, J., & Ma, F. (2021). The causes, impacts and countermeasures of COVID-19 "infodemic": A systematic review using narrative synthesis. *Information Processing & Management*, 58(6), Article 102713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2021.102713>

- Rivas-de-Roca, R. (2026). Shadows and lights on fact-checking research: Trends, methodologies, and empirical approaches. *Textual & Visual Media*, 20(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.56418/txt.20.1.2026.3>
- Sherif, M., & Cantril, H. (2017). *The psychology of ego-involvements: Social attitudes and identifications*. Routledge. (Original work published 1947)
- Sherif, M., & Sherif, C. W. (2017). The adolescent in his group in its setting I: Theoretical approach and methodology required. In *Problems of youth* (pp. 265–294). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315127446-17>
- Tully, M., Ekdale, E., & Wackman, S. (2024). Media literacy and fact-checking as proactive and reactive responses to misinformation. *African Journalism Studies*, 45(2), 89–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2024.2341102>
- Walter, N., Cohen, J., Holbert, R. L., & Morag, Y. (2020). Fact-checking the fact-checkers: A meta-analysis of the factors influencing the successful correction of misinformation. *Journal of Communication*, 70(3), 350–375. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqaa014>
- Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe. <https://rm.coe.int/information-disorder-toward-an-interdisciplinary-framework-for-research/168076277c>

